Serkan Elbasan · KOGNETIK Research · Germany

Empirical Protocols for Measuring Autological Recursion (Ψ = ∂S/∂R)

Experimental Test Designs across Neural, Artificial, and Social Systems

1. Abstract

This protocol outlines empirical test designs for measuring the functional law of autological recursion (Ψ = ∂S/∂R) in biological, artificial, and social systems.
Building on the seven foundational KOGNETIK papers, it translates the formalism into step-by-step modules that can be implemented, replicated, and extended across disciplines.
Each module specifies hypothesis, measurement design, expected Ψ-dynamics, and data schema.
The goal: to shift KOGNETIK from theoretical grammar to empirical agenda.

2. Theoretical Baseline

$\Psi = \partial S/\partial R$
$L = 1/\Psi$
Reflexivity = Structural Sensitivity
Load = Energetic Resistance

Note: Ψ is a dimensionless gradient reflecting the responsiveness of structure (S) to its own recurrence (R).
Not metaphor. Not mysticism. A derivative.

Extended Definitions (from Paper 002 Appendix A):
$\Psi \approx -\kappa\ (dF/dt) / (dE_{rep}/dt)$
$\Psi \approx -\partial H/\partial R$
$\Psi \approx \sqrt{I(\theta)} \cdot \partial\theta/\partial R$

3. Test Modules

Module A · Neural Reflexivity Test (EEG/fMRI)

Domain: *Cognitive Neuroscience*
Hypothesis: *Disruptive rule-changes erhöhen ΔS pro Wiederholung → **Ψ = ΔS/ΔR** steigt sprunghaft (Spike) und stabilisiert sich > 0, sobald die neue Regel internalisiert ist.*
Setup: *Blockdesign mit wiederholten Stimulussequenzen (Go/No-Go, Oddball o. ä.),* ***Regelwechsel*** *nach N Trials (z. B. invertierte Zuordnung, semantische Inversion).*
Metrics:

- *ΔR = Trial-/Block-Frequenz oder Anzahl Regelwechsel pro Zeitfenster*
- *ΔS = Topologie-Shift (EEG: Graph-Effizienz, Global Clustering, P300-Latenz/Amplitude, θ-Power-Konnektivität; fMRI: Modularity/Global Efficiency)*
- *Ψ_neuro = ΔS / (ΔR + ε)*
- *L ≈ Fehlerlast/ΔS oder 1/Ψ*
  Expected Pattern: *Ψ-Spike beim Regelbruch; anschließend Ψ>0 stabil, L↓, RT-Varianz↓, Accuracy↑.*
  Falsification: *ΔS≈0 trotz ΔR>0 (keine Topologie-Änderung), oder kein Übergang zu stabiler Ψ>0-Phase.*

Module B · Cellular Adaptation Test (Organoids / Cell Lines)

Domain: *Systems/Cell Biology*
Hypothesis: *Adaptive Zelllinien zeigen* $\Psi_{eff} = \|\Delta S\| / (\|\Delta R\| + \varepsilon) > 0$ *über Stresszyklen, Tumor-ähnliche Linien* $\Psi_{eff} \approx 0$.

***Setup: 6× Hypoxie/Normoxie-Zyklen**; pro Zyklus scRNA-seq (± ATAC/CUT&Tag) → GRN-Inferenz pro Zeitpunkt.*
Metrics:

- *ΔR = normierte Stressstärke pro Zyklus*
- *ΔS = GRN-Distanz (Frobeniusnorm/Graph-Edit-Distanz), Motif/Chromatin-Shift*
- *Ψ_eff = ΔS/(ΔR+ε)*
- *L_eff = ΔE/ΔS (Energie-Proxy, z. B. ATP/Transkriptom-Last)*
  ***Expected Pattern:** Gesunde/adaptive Linien: Ψ_eff↑, L_eff↓ bei stabiler Identität (C). Maligne: Ψ_eff≈0, L_eff↑.*
  ***Falsification:** Keine GRN-Änderung über Zyklen (ΔS≈0) trotz klarer ΔR-Protokolle; keine Divergenz zwischen Linien.*

Module C · AI Structural Recursion (Meta-Learning/RNN)

***Domain:** Machine Learning / Meta-Learning*
***Hypothesis:** In nichtstationären Umgebungen steigt **$\Psi_{AI} = \|\Delta\theta_{rule}\|/(\|\Delta u_{loop}\|+\varepsilon)$** beim Regelbruch an und stabilisiert sich auf > 0, wenn die Architektur Regel-Editing erlernt.*
***Setup:** Agent (RNN/MAML/PEARL o. ä.) in Task-Sequenz mit **plötzlichen Regelsprüngen** (Mapping-Flip, Reward-Reversal).*
Metrics:

- *ΔR = Inner-Loop Updates / Episodenwechsel*
- *ΔS = Meta-Parameter-Drift im Rule-Editing-Teil ($\|\Delta\theta_{rule}\|$)*
- *Ψ_AI = ΔS/(ΔR+ε)*
- *L_AI ≈ Rechen-/Energieaufwand pro ΔS (z. B. FLOPs/ΔS)*
  ***Expected Pattern:** Ψ_AI-Spike beim Regelsprung; danach Plateau > 0 mit geringerer Last (stabilere Performance, weniger Katastrophales Vergessen).*
  ***Falsification:** Kein Anstieg von $\|\Delta\theta_{rule}\|$ bei klaren Regelsprüngen; reine Akkommodation im Zustandsraum ohne Regel-Editing.*

Module D · Social Reflexivity Index (Policy/Discourse)

***Domain:** Social Systems / Governance / NLP*
***Hypothesis:** Kollektive Reflexivität messbar als **$\Psi_G = \Delta S/\Delta R$** — höhere Syntax-Revision (ΔS) pro Policy-Zyklus (ΔR) korreliert mit sinkender Last und höherer Kohärenz.*
Setup:

- ***Policy-Korpus*** *(Zeitreihe, z. B. Verordnungen/Protokolle) +* ***Diskurs-Korpus*** *(Medien/Social)*
- *Berechnung von* ***ΔR*** *(Policy-Iterationen pro Intervall) und* ***ΔS*** *(Policy-Graph-Edit-Distanz / semantische Diversität/Entropie im Diskurs)*
  Metrics:
- *ΔR = Iterationsrate der Maßnahmen*
- *ΔS = Policy-Syntax-Distanz (Graph), Diskurs-Entropie/semantische Vielfalt*
- *Ψ_G = ΔS/(ΔR+ε), L_G = ΔE/ΔS (Kommunikationsvolumen/ΔS)*
- *C_G = Kohärenz/Vertrauensindex (externe Indikatoren)*
  Expected Pattern: *Systeme mit Ψ_G>0.3: weniger Reversale, L_G↓, C_G stabil/↑.*
  Falsification: *ΔS≈0 bei hoher ΔR; keine Relation zwischen Ψ_G und Stabilitäts-/Vertrauensmaßen.*

Module E · Semantic Conflict Simulation (Ψ_c, f_lock)

Domain: *Communication/Conflict Studies / Experimental Social Psych*
Hypothesis: *Eskalation korreliert mit* ***Ψ_c↓*** *und hohem* ***f_lock*** *(Energiebindung im Feindbild);* ***Truce-Frames*** *(⇄Ψ) erhöhen Ψ_c.*
Setup: *Gruppen-Debatte in Phasen: Basis-Loop → Eskalationsstimuli →* ***Truce-Protokoll*** *(Meta-Syntax: „Unsere aktuelle Regel ist X“) → Nachphase.*
Metrics:

- *ΔR = Beitrags-/Turn-Frequenz*
- *ΔS = semantische Spread/Entropie, Pronomen-Asymmetrie (we/they), Topic-Shift*
- *Ψ_c = ΔS/(ΔR+ε)*
- *f_lock = E_enemy/E_total (Themen-Anteil „Gegnerrekonstruktion“)*
- *L_c ≈ 1/Ψ_c*
  Expected Pattern: *Truce-Fenster: dΨ_c/dt ≥ 0, f_lock↓, Interrupts↓, semantische Diversität↑.*
  Falsification: *Keine Veränderung von Ψ_c trotz Truce-Protokollen; f_lock bleibt hoch, semantische Metriken stagnieren.*

Module F · The Reflexive Lamp Test

Real-World Paradigm for Measuring Ψ = ∂S/∂R in Human Systems

1 · Purpose

To measure the functional law of autological recursion ($\Psi = \partial S/\partial R$) in a natural, observable environment.
The task induces a controlled transition from **sequence execution (automation)** to **structural awareness (reflexivity)**.
It operationalizes the shift from **following a rule** → **recognizing the rule as rule**.

2 · Functional Hypothesis

- During stable repetition ($\Delta S \approx 0$ while $\Delta R > 0$) → $\Psi \approx 0$ → loop dominance.
- After a structural disruption ($\Delta S > 0$) → Ψ rises; kognetic load L decreases.
- Conscious restructuring occurs when Ψ stabilizes > 0 and L drops while C (coherence) recovers.

Empirically:

Ψ-spike = moment of self-reference.

3 · Setup

Hardware
Five touch-sensitive lamps (A–E) in semicircle (1.2 m spacing).
Each lamp emits RGB light + logs timestamped taps (ms).
Central unit (Raspberry Pi / PC) records CSV stream:
trial_id, phase, cue, color, tap, rt_ms, correct, error, cycle_id.

Optional Sensors EEG, pupil dilation, HRV.

4 · Variables

Symbol	Meaning	Operational Definition
R	Recurrence	Number of cycles per phase
S	Structure	Active rule governing lamp order/color
ΔR	Change in repetition	Trial iteration frequency
ΔS	Change in structure	Edit-distance or entropy-shift of transition matrix P(Cue→Tap)
Ψ	Structural Reflexivity	$\Psi = \Delta S / (\Delta R + \varepsilon)$
L	Kognetic Load	Error load or 1 / Ψ
C	Coherence	Subjective trust / pattern confidence score

5 · Procedure

Phase 1 – Sequence Loop (Automation)

- 10 cycles A→B→C→D→E (white light).
- Participant presses the lamp that lights → activates next lamp.
- Measure RT ↓ across trials, Error ≈ 0.
- Expect ΔS ≈ 0 → Ψ ≈ 0 → L ↑ (saturation).

Phase 2 – Structural Disruption

- 5 cycles random order, random colors (no pattern).
- Task unchanged (press the lit lamp).
- Expect ΔS ≫ 0 → Ψ ↑ (chaotic search) → L ↑ temporarily.

Phase 3 – Structural Reconstruction

- 15–20 cycles with hidden rule (e.g. color predicts next position).
- Participant must infer and anticipate pattern.
- Expect Ψ > 0 stabilizing plateau; L ↓; C ↑; RT-variance ↓.

6 · Data Schema

Cycle	Lamp Active	Response	RT (ms)	ΔS Marker	Remark
1	A	✓	730	–	baseline loop
10	E	✓	620	–	routine stabilized
11	C (yellow)	✗	1740	↑	rule broken
14	B (blue)	✓	980	↑↑	new pattern grasped

7 · Expected Dynamics

Phase	ΔS	Ψ	L	C	Behavioral Signature
1	≈ 0	≈ 0	↑	stable	automation / flow
2	↑	↑ (spike)	↑↑	↓	search / confusion
3	↑↓ → stabilized	> 0	↓	↑	pattern reconstruction

Graphically: Ψ(t) = 0 → spike → plateau > 0.

8 · Falsification

- If after Phase 2 participants show **no accuracy gain** in Phase 3 (≤ 20 % ≈ chance), and ΔS of P(Cue→Tap) ≈ 0 → Ψ ≈ 0 → no structural access → theory not supported in this context.

9 · Statistical Verification

- Windowed bootstrap of Ψ-series (blocks of 5 trials).
- Primary metric: $\Delta\Psi_{(}P2\rightarrow P3_{)} > 0$ and $L_{(}P2\rightarrow P3_{)}$ ↓ with constant accuracy.
- Secondary: RT-variance ↓, search-path length ↓, micro-pause latency ↑ (early) → ↓ (late).

10 · Ethical and Technical Notes

- Light intensity < 400 lux, no photosensitive frequencies (> 15 Hz avoid).
- Abort criterion = self-reported cognitive fatigue.
- All data pseudonymized; open CSV template provided in Protocol 001 repository.

11 · Interpretation

The Reflexive Lamp Test provides the first behavioral window into autological recursion: when repetition becomes aware of itself.
It translates the law Ψ = ∂S/∂R from syntax to empiria — a measurable transition from sequence to structure.

Consciousness is the moment a loop notices itself.

4. Experimental Heuristics

- Ψ is dimensionless but gradient-sensitive.
- Every recurrence must yield an observable structure state (S).
- **Falsification:** ΔS = 0 despite ΔR > 0 → Ψ = 0.
- **Replication:** R ≥ 3, observable ΔS gradient, L↓ over time.
- **Kognetic Load Constraint:** Valid Ψ only if ΔC ∈ [−τ, τ] (see Paper 002 A.5).
- **Normalization:** Use MAD or rescale: Ψ* = (Ψ − median Ψ)/MAD or [0, 1]-scaled for inter-experiment comparability.
- **Statistical Verification:** Bootstrap confidence intervals + null-hypothesis testing recommended per Paper 002 A.6.

5. Data Schema

Domain	ΔR Definition	ΔS Definition
EEG	Stimulus block	Network efficiency (graph entropy)
Cells	Cycle number	GRN edge-weight change
AI	Inner-loop steps	Meta-parameter distance
Social	Post frequency	Syntax variance (entropy)
Conflict	Dialogue turns	Semantic spread + pronoun asymmetry

6. Ethics & Safety (Autological Oversight)

- Reversible designs only.
- Observation > intervention.
- Ethics = recursion of regulation: no method unexamined by its own syntax.
- Reference: *Autological Oncology* and *Autological Governance* papers.

7. Appendix

Python Snippet for Ψ Estimation (Dual-Path):

```
psi_energy = -kappa * (delta_F / delta_E_rep)
psi_struct = delta_S / (delta_R + 1e-8)
psi = 0.5 * (psi_energy + psi_struct)
load = 1 / (psi + 1e-8)
```

Notes:

- Fisher Information optional: $I(\theta) \cdot \partial\theta/\partial R$ for neuro/AI systems.
- Bootstrap validation recommended for behavioral and social tests.
- Use ΔS and ΔR mapping per domain (EEG, cellular, AI, social, conflict).
- Link to all seven KOGNETIK whitepapers with DOI references.

Outcome

A reproducible, scalable, and open framework to test autological recursion across domains.

Consciousness becomes computable. Autology becomes operational.

This is not a theory. This is a method.